



# ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

## Private Client 2014

**3rd Edition**

A practical cross-border insight into private client work

Published by Global Legal Group, in association with CDR, with contributions from:

Alarcón Espinosa, Abogados  
Arqués Ribert Junyer - Advocats  
Berwin Leighton Paisner LLP  
Bircham Dyson Bell LLP  
Boga & Associates  
Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered  
Carter Ledyard & Milburn LLP  
Debarliev, Dameski and Kelesoska Attorneys at law  
DLA Piper  
Gordon S. Blair Law Offices  
Greenille  
Ivanyan & Partners  
Johnson Šťastný Kramařík, advokátní kancelář, s.r.o.  
Kuleli Attorneys at Law  
Lenz & Staehelin  
Macfarlanes LLP

Maples and Calder  
Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal  
Matheson  
Miller Thomson LLP  
Minter Ellison Lawyers  
MJM Limited  
Mourant Ozannes  
Nishith Desai Associates  
O'Sullivan Estate Lawyers  
Ospelt & Partner Attorneys at Law Ltd.  
P+P Pöllath + Partners  
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP  
Society of Trust and Estate Practitioners (STEP)  
Szecskay Attorneys at Law  
Tirard, Naudin Société d'avocats



GLG

Global Legal Group

**Contributing Editors**

Owen Clutton & Jonathan Conder, Macfarlanes LLP

**Account Managers**

Beth Bassett, Maksim Dolgusev, Robert Hoggood, Dror Levy, Maria Lopez, Mahmoud Nedjai, Florjan Osmani, Oliver Smith, Rory Smith

**Sales Support Manager**

Toni Wyatt

**Sub Editor**

Nicholas Catlin

**Editors**

Beatriz Arroyo  
Gemma Bridge

**Senior Editor**

Suzie Kidd

**Global Head of Sales**

Simon Lemos

**Group Consulting Editor**

Alan Falach

**Group Publisher**

Richard Firth

**Published by**

Global Legal Group Ltd.  
59 Tanner Street  
London SE1 3PL, UK  
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720  
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255  
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk  
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

**GLG Cover Design**

F&F Studio Design

**GLG Cover Image Source**

iStockphoto

**Printed by**

Information Press Ltd  
December 2013

Copyright © 2013

Global Legal Group Ltd.  
All rights reserved  
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-908070-83-8

ISSN 2048-6863

**Strategic Partners**



**General Chapters:**

1	<b>The Establishment and Taxation of Charities and Philanthropic Organisations in England and Wales –</b> Owen Clutton & Nicholas Pell, Macfarlanes LLP	1
2	<b>Shifting Sands – the UK Revenue’s New Approach to UK Property Ownership through Enveloping –</b> Helen Ratcliffe & Matt Braithwaite, Bircham Dyson Bell LLP	8
3	<b>Conflict of Laws Issues in Drafting and Using Powers of Attorney for the Mobile Client –</b> Margaret R. O’Sullivan, O’Sullivan Estate Lawyers	14
4	<b>Structuring Direct Investment by Non-U.S. Individuals into the United States –</b> Michael I. Frankel & Howard J. Barnet, Jr., Carter Ledyard & Milburn LLP	19
5	<b>Modernising English Trust Law –</b> Damian Bloom & Marilyn McKeever, Berwin Leighton Paisner LLP	24
6	<b>FATCA: An Overview of its Scope and Application to Non-U.S. Entities –</b> Michael G. Pfeifer & Dianne C. Mehany, Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	31
7	<b>Private Charity in Russia –</b> Yulia Chekmareva, Ivanyan & Partners	37
8	<b>Preserving the Integrity of Tax Systems –</b> Michael Young, Society of Trust and Estate Practitioners (STEP)	40

**Country Question and Answer Chapters:**

9	<b>Albania</b>	Boga & Associates: Mirjeta Emini & Jonida Skendaj	42
10	<b>Andorra</b>	Arqués Ribert Junyer - Advocats: Jaume Ribert i Llovet & Jordi Junyer i Ricart	47
11	<b>Argentina</b>	Marval, O’Farrell & Mairal: Gabriel Gotlib & Walter C. Keiniger	55
12	<b>Australia</b>	Minter Ellison Lawyers: William Thompson & Gary Lanham	60
13	<b>Belgium</b>	Greenille: Alain-Laurent Verbeke & Alain Nijs	67
14	<b>Bermuda</b>	MJM Limited: Jane Collis & Hildeberto (“Hil”) de Frias	74
15	<b>BVI</b>	Maples and Calder: Arabella di Iorio & Richard Grasby	80
16	<b>Canada</b>	Miller Thomson LLP: Martin Rochweg & Rachel Blumenfeld	84
17	<b>Cayman Islands</b>	Maples and Calder: Justin Appleyard & Tony Pursall	90
18	<b>China</b>	DLA Piper Hong Kong: Stephen Nelson, and DLA Piper Shanghai: Daisy Guo	94
19	<b>Czech Republic</b>	Johnson Šťastný Kramařík, advokátní kancelář, s.r.o.: Roman Kramařík & František Čech	99
20	<b>France</b>	Tirard, Naudin, Société d’avocats: Jean-Marc Tirard	105
21	<b>Germany</b>	P+P Pöllath + Partners: Dr. Andreas Richter & Dr. Jens Escher	111
22	<b>Guernsey</b>	Mourant Ozannes: St John Robilliard	116
23	<b>Hong Kong</b>	DLA Piper Hong Kong: Todd Beutler & Deirdre Fu	121
24	<b>Hungary</b>	Szecksay Attorneys at Law: Dr. Sándor Németh & Dr. Bence Molnár Sz.	127
25	<b>India</b>	Nishith Desai Associates: Megha Ramani & Shreya Rao	133
26	<b>Ireland</b>	Matheson: John Gill & Allison Dey	139
27	<b>Jersey</b>	Mourant Ozannes: Giles Corbin & Edward Devenport	145
28	<b>Liechtenstein</b>	Ospelt & Partner Attorneys at Law Ltd.: Alexander Ospelt & Remo Mairhofer	151
29	<b>Macedonia</b>	Debarliev, Dameski and Kelesoska Attorneys at law: Dragan Dameski & Elena Nikodinovska	157
30	<b>Monaco</b>	Gordon S. Blair Law Offices: Alexis Madier & Christophe Kosman	164

Continued Overleaf ➔

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

**Disclaimer**

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

**GLG**

Global Legal Group

31	<b>Netherlands</b>	Greenille: Dirk-Jan Maasland & Wouter Verstijnen	169
32	<b>Russia</b>	Ivanyan & Partners: Yulia Chekmareva & Dmitry Mikhailov	175
33	<b>Spain</b>	Alarcón Espinosa, Abogados: Pablo Alarcón Espinosa	180
34	<b>Switzerland</b>	Lenz & Staehelin: Stefan Breitenstein & Mark Barmes	185
35	<b>Turkey</b>	Kuleli Attorneys at Law: Turgay Kuleli	193
36	<b>United Kingdom</b>	Macfarlanes LLP: Jonathan Conder & Robin Vos	201
37	<b>USA</b>	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP: Alan S. Halperin, Esq. & Andrea Levine Sanft, Esq.	212

---

## EDITORIAL

---

Welcome to the third edition of *The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Private Client*.

This guide provides corporate counsel and international practitioners with a comprehensive worldwide legal analysis of the laws and regulations of private client work.

It is divided into two main sections:

Eight general chapters. These are designed to provide readers with a comprehensive overview of key issues affecting private client work, particularly from the perspective of a multi-jurisdictional transaction.

Country question and answer chapters. These provide a broad overview of common issues in private client laws and regulations in 29 jurisdictions.

All chapters are written by leading private client lawyers and industry specialists and we are extremely grateful for their excellent contributions.

Special thanks are reserved for the contributing editors Owen Clutton and Jonathan Conder of Macfarlanes LLP for their invaluable assistance.

Global Legal Group hopes that you find this guide practical and interesting.

The *International Comparative Legal Guide* series is also available online at [www.iclg.co.uk](http://www.iclg.co.uk).

Alan Falach LL.M.  
Group Consulting Editor  
Global Legal Group  
[Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk](mailto:Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk)

---

# Conflict of Laws Issues in Drafting and Using Powers of Attorney for the Mobile Client

O'Sullivan Estate Lawyers

Margaret R. O'Sullivan



### Introduction

There is a convergence of a number of factors that will cause us to increasingly think much longer and harder about what we need to do to best plan for our clients' incapacity. For instance, greater consideration will need to be given to using powers of attorney that goes beyond a purely domestic approach.

Many of us are familiar with the use of multiple wills where our clients have assets in different jurisdictions. But what about multiple powers of attorney? How much thought and effort goes into ensuring our mobile clients have the right protective legal instruments in place?

Our clients are getting older, living much longer, travelling more, and are increasingly residing in other jurisdictions for protracted periods each year—in particular, in warmer climates.

Our affluent middle-aged clients are increasingly becoming less domestically focused. They are also acquiring second homes outside their home jurisdiction—whether a ski place in the mountains, a golf villa in a sunny clime, or maybe a country home in a rural setting. And if they are not buying a place, they may be renting and spending significant time outside their home jurisdiction.

A stronger currency relative to others for some, and depressed real estate prices in many of the most attractive places in the world to live are helping international buyers to achieve what before seemed only a dream on their wish list, and perhaps spurred by reality shows featuring international real estate which have demystified the notion of owning real estate in a different jurisdiction, and brought this idea and exotic images into our living rooms and everyday thinking.

These trends will likely only increase and, as our clients become more mobile, incapacity planning and how we advise our clients, need to be more thoroughly considered to ensure our clients' best interests are served, wherever they may live.

### 1. Incapacity Planning Using Multiple Separate *Situs* Powers of Attorney

#### (a) Using Multiple Separate *Situs* Powers of Attorney

At this point in the development of the law, there is a lack of harmonisation between many jurisdictions in respect of what effect a power of attorney prepared in one jurisdiction will have in another jurisdiction, in particular powers of attorney for personal care and advance health directives.

Due to this lack of certainty, and also the time, expense, delays and lack of certainty of success that may result in seeking legal opinions and other processes to try to validate powers in another jurisdiction, a practical approach is to advise a client to put in place a local

power of attorney for property and for personal care or equivalent instrument in each jurisdiction where he or she has assets, in particular real estate, or spends significant time.

For this purpose, it is important to carefully review a client's assets and understand his or her lifestyle and residence patterns. How much time is spent outside his or her home jurisdiction and in which other jurisdictions? Does he or she have assets, in particular real estate, outside his or her home jurisdiction? How is title held? Solely in the client's name, jointly or otherwise? What is his or her age and general health? Based on these inquiries, an assessment can be made for which jurisdictions powers of attorney in local form should be prepared and where one may not be necessary. For example, where it is possible to change ownership or retitle assets, such as from sole to joint account for certain financial assets, taking into account all relevant considerations including tax consequences of any transfer and the loss of control over the assets.

#### (b) Drafting Issues in Using Multiple, Separate *Situs* Powers of Attorney

##### (i) Revocation

It is important in drafting and executing multiple powers of attorney that one does not by inadvertence revoke a pre-existing power of attorney which is meant to be preserved and that express provisions are included in each power of attorney to ensure other pre-existing ones are preserved and not revoked. This can be accomplished by specifically referring in each power of attorney to other pre-existing ones and expressly confirming they are not to be revoked.

It should be noted that each jurisdiction can have different laws with regard to whether or not a power of attorney, unless otherwise directed, automatically revokes any prior ones or not.

For example, in Ontario, subsections 12(1) and 53(1) of the *Substitute Decisions Act* (the SDA) [see Endnote 1] provide that a new power of attorney will revoke a previous one unless the grantor provides for there to be multiple powers of attorney.

##### (ii) Multiple Attorneys

It is important to try to make each separate *situs* power of attorney as parallel as possible to the principal power of attorney to ensure wherever possible the same set of decision-makers, unless there are particular reasons why this is not advisable. This is not always possible since local legislation varies, and in some jurisdictions it is not possible to have co-appointments of multiple attorneys. In other jurisdictions, it may be possible to do so, but the appointments can only be joint, not several or by majority rule.

##### (iii) Termination

Local law may vary with regard to termination of a power of attorney. For example, in Ontario, the death of the grantor automatically terminates a continuing power of attorney for

property. This may not be the case for financial powers of attorney in other jurisdictions where death may not automatically terminate them. Furthermore, in some jurisdictions, unlike in Ontario, marriage and divorce automatically terminate a power of attorney.

#### (iv) Compensation of Attorneys

It is important to understand what compensation is permitted, if at all, to attorneys and ensure this issue is properly addressed and integrated with the principal power of attorney, and that there is no possibility of double or over-compensation.

#### (v) Standard of Care

The standard of care in the local jurisdiction may differ from that under the home jurisdiction's law, or may set a lower standard for family members who act for no compensation and a higher standard for those who act for compensation. To ensure consistency, to the extent it is permissible under each local law, a similar standard should be adopted.

#### (vi) Execution Requirements

Each jurisdiction will have its own unique formalities for executing powers of attorney. Some are intricate and require a sworn statement by one of the witnesses before a notary or must be witnessed by a notary, or may require initials placed in several parts of the power of attorney to indicate which provisions are to be adopted or not based on several options. It is important to liaise with local counsel when supervising execution to ensure all formalities are properly observed.

The length and intricacy of powers of attorney vary widely, particularly in the United States, where some states have simple approaches, others have lengthy, detailed and often confusing ones, and some are in the middle.

#### (vii) Advance Health Care Directives

It is common in many US states to have very detailed, lengthy health care directives which allow the client to decide on many aspects of their health care. This approach may not be typical in other jurisdictions which allow for such instruments, where they may tend to be more aspirational and generic than specific with regard to medical treatment at end of life.

## 2. Using Powers of Attorney in Another Jurisdiction

Many jurisdictions now have legislation dealing with powers of attorney for property and for personal care or parallel instruments. Some, but not all, legislation has conflict of laws rules with regard to the formal validity of powers of attorney which are connected with another jurisdiction.

#### (a) Statutory Conflict of Laws Provisions

As an example, in Ontario, section 85 of the SDA provides that a continuing power of attorney or a power of attorney for personal care or their revocation is valid with regard to its formality if it complies with the internal law of any of the following:

- (i) place of execution;
- (ii) domicile of the grantor; or
- (iii) habitual residence of the grantor.

Moreover, all of the Canadian provinces and territories with the exception of New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia and Newfoundland have provisions for the recognition of a foreign power of attorney for property or equivalent. In addition, all of the Canadian provinces and territories with the exception of New Brunswick and Newfoundland have provisions for recognition of a power of attorney for personal care or equivalent. Outside of Canada, it is noted that both Florida and Arizona have such legislation as well for both types of power of attorney or equivalent,

however recognition only applies to instruments executed in another US jurisdiction.

In all of the Canadian provinces and territories, the term “*enduring* power of attorney” is used to describe a financial power of attorney that survives incapacity, with the exception of Ontario which is singular in using the term “*continuing* power of attorney” and Québec which has three possible instruments: (i) general power of attorney; (ii) mandate in anticipation of incapacity; and (iii) a general power of attorney coupled with mandate in anticipation of incapacity.

Generally, in the Canadian provinces and territories that have statutory recognition provisions, a foreign power of attorney will be recognised if it complies with the law of the place where it is executed. Ontario also adds compliance with the place where the grantor was domiciled or had his or her habitual residence, and Québec adds compliance with the law of the place where the property is situated where the instrument is to be used, or the law of domicile of one of the parties. Furthermore, in Québec the foreign power of attorney may require homologation by the court upon the occurrence of incapacity before it is effective for use by the attorney. Homologation is a court process whereby the court, based on appropriate evidence, confirms the incapacity of the donor and the existence and validity of the instrument.

It is of interest that the *Hague Convention on the International Protection of Adults, 1999*, which is discussed in further detail below, allows for an express choice of law in which any of the law of the donor's nationality, former habitual residence or place where the property is located may be chosen, otherwise it is the law of the donor's habitual residence at the time of execution that governs validity and other formalities in respect of a power of attorney.

Where there are express rules to allow recognition of powers of attorney for property or equivalent where they need to be used, they facilitate use of a power of attorney in those jurisdictions, without the need to rely on private conflict of laws rules and possible court application or other legal process to substantiate validity.

#### (b) *Hague Convention on the International Protection of Adults, 1999* (“Convention XXXV”)

Significant progress has been made in the European Union to harmonise conflict of laws rules applying to incapable adults through ratification of Convention XXXV. These rules deal with issues involving jurisdiction, applicable law, and recognition and enforcement of court orders involving guardianship or similar protective regimes in other jurisdictions. Convention XXXV also provides rules with regard to formalities and recognition of powers of attorney surviving incapacity which are a form of “powers of representation”, the term Convention XXXV employs.

Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Scotland and Switzerland have each ratified Convention XXXV, and ratification is pending in Ireland. England and Wales has not yet ratified Convention XXXV, but has been under pressure to do so. It has, however, amended its mental capacity legislation to incorporate almost identical provisions to those in Convention XXXV. Canada is not a signatory to Convention XXXV, although it has been supported by the Canadian Bar Association. It should be noted that the Uniform Law Conference of Canada in 2001 prepared and adopted model legislation to implement Convention XXXV, called the *Uniform International Protection of Adults (Hague Convention) Implementation Act*.

Under Convention XXXV, an adult person can choose the law to be applied to a power of attorney, including which law governs amendment, termination, validity, and its scope, otherwise the law of one's habitual residence at the time of the grant of authority will apply.

The law of any of the following can be chosen:

- (i) the law of the state where the person is a national;

- (ii) the state of former habitual residence; or
- (iii) the state where the adult person's property is located.

Accordingly, it is possible under Convention XXXV to have a power of attorney recognised in a jurisdiction which does not have such instruments.

The manner of exercising such power of representation is governed by the law of the state in which they are exercised. Accordingly, Convention XXXV gives primacy to the local law and any mandatory laws it may have, which could impact for example the use of advance health directives if they are not in accordance with the public policy of the jurisdiction where they are sought to be used. These provisions apply even if the law designated is the law of a non-contracting state to Convention XXXV.

It can be seen that Convention XXXV will be helpful in fostering cross-border advance incapacity planning and requires each contracting state to have familiarity with the various planning regimes in existence in other jurisdictions. Convention XXXV also provides for a Certificate of Representation which confirms a person's authority to act and his or her powers.

Convention XXXV can have application to clients in other jurisdictions as well, even ones that have not signed Convention XXXV. For example, a power of attorney for a client who is habitually resident in another jurisdiction would be recognised automatically when an attorney seeks to use it on behalf of an incapable person in a contracting state. Query however the extent that a power of attorney for personal care or similar instrument would be effective to carry out a person's wishes in a contracting state based on differences in local law and public policy considerations.

### (c) Private International Law Principles Where No Statutory Provisions Exist

#### (i) Applicable Law

Where a power of attorney is to be used in a jurisdiction which has no conflict of laws provisions in its legislation with regard to the recognition of foreign powers of attorney, or if it does, such provisions do not have sufficient scope to permit recognition, which conflict of laws rules apply to determine these issues?

The law is somewhat mixed on these issues and often an analysis involving the law of agency is the one utilised on the basis that a power of attorney is a form of agency.

It is fair to say that notwithstanding the ubiquitous use of powers of attorney, including in the commercial context, there is scant literature or case law which deal with the issue of conflict of laws and powers of attorney, and what exists needs to be extracted through review of conflict of laws rules as they apply to agency.

In respect of the formal validity of a power of attorney, the issue arises of which choice of law rule applies under conflict of laws rules. The general rule is based on *locus regit actum*, that is, the law of the place of execution governs the validity of the form of a legal act.

With regard to the applicable law which governs the relationship between principal and agent, Dicey, Morris and Collins [see Endnote 2] state that the applicable law is, in general, the proper law applicable to the contract or other relationship between them. The proper law of a power of attorney is often considered to be where the services are to be performed by the agent as established by *Chatenay v Brazilian Submarine Telegraph Co.* [See Endnote 3.]

A strictly contractual approach to analysis of a power of attorney which looks to the relationship between principal and agent as a type of contract has been subject to significant legal criticism.

Seavey has stated that "agency is the power of one person to affect the legal relations of the others, which power results from the grant of authority by P and the assumption of the fiduciary obligation by A: it is not founded on contract, although there may be a contract

governing the rights and obligations of P and A *inter se.*" [See Endnote 4.]

It has been suggested that it is important to not resolve choice of law issues simply by a mechanical application of the rules but to adopt an approach which is purposeful. [See Endnote 5.]

In respect of the choice of place of execution to govern formal validity, there does appear to be a clear purpose for such choice.

Using the law of the place of execution arguably facilitates international transactions, and it has been asserted that form should generally be a matter of indifference to the parties, as opposed to substance which is of import, "Therefore, as to form they should be permitted the speediest and easiest path, which is obviously by adherence to the place of execution." [See Endnote 6.]

Notwithstanding private international rules for recognition looking to place of execution to substantiate formal validity, it seems that in many jurisdictions there is still a tendency either by local law or in practice based on requirements of third parties including financial institutions, title companies and others to sometimes insist on conformity with local law, even as to execution requirements and other formalities, which serve to undermine the purpose of general private law rules allowing for recognition.

Where it is anticipated that a power of attorney may need to be used in another jurisdiction, a precaution is to have a notary witness it and prepare a certificate to such effect which may facilitate recognition in other jurisdictions, in particular civil law jurisdictions. It is, moreover, preferable to add express powers in the power of attorney so there is more clarity on the attorney's powers than to rely on legislation in the home jurisdiction which may provide for statutory powers.

In attempting to use a power of attorney in another jurisdiction, although it may be formally valid, local law where it is to be used will dictate what substantive effect can be given to it, the rights and obligations of the donor and the attorney, including the scope of the attorney's authority, and any public policy considerations with regard to its use.

As an example, a power of attorney may authorise an attorney to have all the powers of the donor with few exceptions. However, when used in foreign jurisdictions, although it may be recognised as formally valid, local law could have requirements that further circumscribe an attorney's powers to include other matters an attorney may not do. As an example, there could be more or different restrictions on the making of gifts by the attorney, if they are allowed at all.

### 3. Case Study Involving Multiple Powers of Attorney

An Ontario-born couple with adult children are based in Boston, Massachusetts where one spouse is a CEO with a large, multinational corporation. They have financial assets in Ontario, primarily significant registered plans. They have a condominium on the Turquoise Coast in Antalya, Turkey and large bank deposits and investment accounts in New York City and London, UK, as well as a country home in Provence, France. After sorting out their succession planning and its intricacies, it is now time to ensure proper planning for incapacity. You gather further information from your clients and find out that they do not spend any significant time in London or New York City, but that they primarily live each year in Turkey for part of July and August, frequently visit Ontario where they have relatives and intend to return to live eventually on retirement, and spend about two months each year in France.

In conjunction with your clients, you conclude that it would make sense to have a financial power of attorney in each jurisdiction, and

if possible, a power of attorney for personal care or its equivalent in each of Ontario, Turkey, France and Massachusetts. You consult with local counsel in each jurisdiction who prepare drafts for your review and you establish the following:

**New York:** In New York, a power of attorney governs financial matters as provided under New York General Obligations Law. A separate health care proxy must be executed for health care decisions. Where more than one attorney is appointed, they must act together unless a statement in the power of attorney provides they may act separately. The power of attorney does not revoke prior powers of attorney unless it states otherwise. Express powers are set out in the power of attorney. In order to empower the attorney to make gifts over \$500 USD, the power of attorney must provide so and a statutory gifts rider must be executed at the same time as the power of attorney. Attorneys are allowed reimbursement for reasonable expenses but compensation only if the power of attorney provides for same. The power of attorney must be signed before a notary public.

**Massachusetts:** The Massachusetts power of attorney is a simple general power of attorney and sets out the express powers of the attorney. It is executed before a notary public. A separate living will and health care proxy is executed to deal with health care decisions. A separate authorisation is executed to authorise release of medical information and records to the named health care agents.

**France:** In France, a power of attorney which survives incapacity is called a “*mandat de protection future*”, and is quite onerous. Under Article 481 of the French Civil Code, it will become effective once incapacity is established, at which point it must be registered for it to take effect. French counsel confirm that an Ontario power of attorney for property and for personal care will be recognised under Convention XXXV on the basis of the clients’ Canadian nationality and Ontario domicile and for such purpose it should make such choice of law. Furthermore, to ensure it will be recognised, it should be executed with a notary as witness so that it can be legalised and the notary’s status authenticated by legalisation—the process by which legal documents are certified in order to facilitate the documents’ recognition and use in foreign jurisdictions.

Legalisation is accomplished through diplomatic channels—first by submitting the original documents to diplomatic officials for authentication by diplomatic officials of both the home jurisdiction and the foreign jurisdiction. Once authenticated, the documents will be considered legalised for use in France.

If the documents originate from, and will be used in, jurisdictions that are each parties to the *Hague Convention of 5 October 1961 Abolishing the Requirement of Legalisation for Foreign Public Documents*, the simplified Apostille process may be used. Similar to the legalisation process, an Apostille only certifies the authenticity of the signature and capacity of the person or authority signing the document—it does not certify the content. The Apostille process only applies, however, if the document is considered a *public* document as determined by the law of the issuing country. Presumably if the document is not, at law, a public document of the issuing country, the lengthier legalisation process would need to be used instead.

**UK:** In the UK, two “lasting” powers are required—one for property and the other for health care, and the form for each is prescribed under the *Mental Capacity Act, 2005*. A certificate provider who is an independent person must certify that the donor understands the lasting power of attorney and is signing it voluntarily. The lasting power of attorney is not valid unless it has been registered with the Office of the Public Guardian, and cannot be used until registration is completed.

**Turkey:** You confirm there are no simple parallel documents for use under Turkish law which survive incapacity, although Turkish law does provide for powers of attorney. One approach is to have the Ontario powers of attorney sworn before a notary, which can be legalised as described above, with the objective of attempting to secure their recognition in Turkey.

After several weeks of corresponding with counsel, all of the documents are executed and finalised, and at some considerable cost. However, the alternative of not having proper incapacity planning would be disastrous and extremely expensive, possibly including court proceedings in six different jurisdictions, two of which are not common law-based and where English is not an official language, had there been no powers of attorney in place. Your clients appreciate your efforts and you have been careful to keep them up to date throughout the process and inform them of the importance of this planning.

## Conclusion

Incapacity planning will move increasingly to the forefront in estate planning, and with that an understanding of powers of attorney, their importance, and how best to structure them will correspondingly increase in importance as well. But this understanding must go beyond domestic borders to encompass any jurisdiction where our clients decide to spend time periodically or own assets, requiring in many cases multiple powers of attorney given the lack of harmonisation of our rules across borders.

Developments in the European Union show progress that can be made in making a domestic power of attorney mobile, with application in other jurisdictions, but as well the reality of the limits of this approach must be appreciated, particularly where the local law may not give effect, including in the face of public policy considerations.

## Endnotes

- 1 *Substitute Decisions Act, 1992*, S.O. 1992, c. 30.
- 2 *Dicey, Morris and Collins on The Conflict of Laws* (15th ed), Sweet & Maxwell Thomson Reuters at p. 2109.
- 3 [1891] 1 Q.B. 79.
- 4 As cited by Goldfarb, E. in *Agency and the Conflict of Laws: a critical reassessment*, (1977), 35 U.T.L. Rev.
- 5 Goldfarb, *Agency and the Conflict of Laws*, *supra*.
- 6 Citing Battifol, “Les Conflits de Lois 364” in *Powers of Attorney in International Practice*, by Eder, P.H., (1950), 98 U.Pa.L. Rev., 840 at p. 36.



### Margaret O'Sullivan

O'Sullivan Estate Lawyers  
Ernst & Young Tower  
Toronto-Dominion Centre  
222 Bay Street, Suite 1410, P.O. Box 68  
Toronto, ON M5K 1E7  
Canada

Tel: +1 416 363 3700  
Fax: +1 416 363 9570  
Email: [mosullivan@osullivanlaw.com](mailto:mosullivan@osullivanlaw.com)  
URL: [www.osullivanlaw.com](http://www.osullivanlaw.com)

Practises exclusively: estate planning; estate litigation; advising executors, trustees and beneficiaries; and administration of trusts and estates. Recognised in *Euromoney's Guide to the World's Leading Trust and Estate Practitioners 2011-2013*, in *The International Who's Who of Private Client Lawyers 2013*, in *The Canadian Legal LEXPERT Directory 2012-2013* as a leading practitioner in estate planning and in *The Best Lawyers in Canada 2013-2014*. Recipient of the Ontario Bar Association (OBA) 2013 Award of Excellence in Trusts and Estates. Immediate past-Deputy Chair and member of the Board of Directors and Council of Society of Trust and Estate Practitioners (STEP) Worldwide and former Chair of its Professional Standards Committee, past Deputy Chair of STEP (Canada) and past Chair of Editorial Board for STEP Inside. Past Chair, Trusts and Estates Section, OBA. Elected Fellow, ACTEC, 1995. Member of Council, OBA (1993-1998). Authored two textbooks, *Engineering of a Trust* and *Trust and Estate Management*, for Trust Institute, Institute of Canadian Bankers. Author, Canada Chapter, *International Succession Laws* (Tottel 2009). Contributing author to *Widdifield on Executors and Trustees* (Carswell 2002). Called to the Ontario Bar in 1983.

## O'SULLIVAN

ESTATE LAWYERS

At O'Sullivan Estate Lawyers, our client philosophy is simple. We believe that the key to achieving your estate planning goals is understanding your personal objectives and values. To accomplish this we provide a comfortable atmosphere where the intricacies of your finances and family relationships may be discussed frankly and with discretion. Our approach results in a level of personal service not achievable in large institutional or transaction-oriented law firms. Your individual estate planning goals are unique to you and your estate planning needs may be simple or complex. O'Sullivan Estate Lawyers provides bespoke estate planning, estate administration and estate dispute resolution legal services to clients resident both in and outside Ontario, Canada, including on behalf of high-net-worth individuals and high-value estates. Our focused private client practice comprehensively addresses your estate planning needs including planning for the succession of multijurisdictional property. We were ranked in the top five trusts and estates boutique law firms in Canada in 2012 by *Canadian Lawyer* magazine.

## Other titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Commodities and Trade Law
- Competition Litigation
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Data Protection
- Dominance
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Competition Law
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Patents
- PFI / PPP Projects
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255  
Email: [sales@glgroup.co.uk](mailto:sales@glgroup.co.uk)

[www.iclg.co.uk](http://www.iclg.co.uk)